

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/618,656	07/15/200	03	Toshiya Matsubara	240298US0	5279
22850	7590 07	7/22/2005		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SI	PIVAK, MCCL	COOKE, COLLEEN P			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1754	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/618,656	MATSUBARA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Colleen P. Cooke	1754				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE N - Extense after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of the sions of time may be available under the provisions of the sions of time may be available under the provisions of the sions of time may be available under the provisions of the sions of time may be available under the set of this communication of the sions of time may be available under the set of the sions of the sions of time may be available under the set of the sions of time may be available under the sions of time may be available under the provisions of the sions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available unde	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a refeation. Ilays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>20 May 2005</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims		·				
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∟∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the court or declaration is objected to be	•					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a)[2	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Apother priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment 1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	4) Interview So 9-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/03, 11/24/03, 7 20 04, 9 9 04 S. Patest and Implement Office.							

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-19 in the reply filed on 5/20/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is not sufficient reason or example to show that the apparatus can be used to perform another process. This is not found persuasive because the rejection clearly stated that the apparatus is simply a nozzle or flow plate and therefore may be used for the spraying or distribution of any liquid. A specific example could be the spraying or distribution of water, but is not limited to this and could indeed be any such sprayable liquid.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-10, 16, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ipponmatsu et al. (5376347).

With respect to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 16, 18, and 19, Ipponmatsu et al. teaches a method of forming inorganic silica microspheres including injecting an aqueous, silica sol solution into an organic solvent under pressure through pores in a membrane (Column 2, lines 18-20, 32-33) having a pore size of .20 µm (Column 7, line 41) at a rate of 1 g/cm²/min (Column 7, line 49), to

Art Unit: 1754

form a W/O type emulsion and solidifying the liquid to produce silica spheres (Column 2, lines 51-55). In one example the average particle size produced is 1.2 μ m (Column 8, lines 12-13) with a standard deviation of 0.2, yet the claims broadly define that particles of 0.001 to 500 μ m may be produced (see Claim 1, preamble).

With respect to claim 3, Ipponmatsu et al. teaches adding an organic or inorganic acid (Column 6, lines 23-27).

With respect to claims 9 and 10, Ipponmatsu et al. teaches (see Figure 1) that the flow path is compartmentalized by a partition (12), which is the membrane having a plurality of pores through the thickness of the membrane.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ipponmatsu et al. (5376347) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hansen (4621068).

Ipponmatsu et al. teaches the method of producing silica sphere by injection as described above with respect to claim 1. Ipponmatsu et al. teaches that the organic liquid can be an aliphatic hydrocarbon, but does not specify a C_{9-12} saturated hydrocarbon.

Art Unit: 1754

Hansen teaches a process of producing particles by forming a W/O type emulsion

sodium silicate or silica sol (Column 2, lines 48-55), into a first liquid (Column 1, lines 62-66).

(Column 3, lines 45-46) by dispersing the solution of material to be formed, which may be a

Hansen teaches that this first liquid can be a saturated hydrocarbon, particularly C₅₋₁₀

hydrocarbons and specifically names nonane (Column 3, lines 1-5). This liquid, being the same

as the liquid the applicant has claimed, would have a Reynolds number of at most 500.

Claims 11-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ipponmatsu et al. (5376347) as applied to claims 1, 9, and 16 above, and further in view of

Nakaijima et al. (2002/0043731 A1).

With respect to claim s 11 and 17, Ipponmatsu et al. teaches the method of producing silica sphere by injection as described above with respect to claims 1, 9, and 16. Ipponmatsu et

al. is silent as to the number of pores in the membrane through which the liquid is injected.

Nakaijima et al. teaches a similar method of producing microspheres by first forming a

W/O type emulsion by injecting one liquid into another (see abstract, paragraphs 0019 and

0021). Nakaijima et al. further teaches that the apparatus is capable of increasing the number of

holes to 1000/cm² or more which is desirable to increase production of the microspheres

(paragraph 0027).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to use a membrane having 100 or more holes, since it has been held that discovering

an optimum value or a result effective variable involved only routine skill in the art. In re

Boesch, 617 F.2nd 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). The artisan would have been motivated to

Art Unit: 1754

increase the number of holes by the reasoned explanation that doing so desirably increases production.

With respect to claims 12 and 13, Ipponmatsu et al. teaches that the flow path of the organic liquid is at an angle of 90° to the horizontal plane and flow from bottom to top (Figure 1).

With respect to claim 14, Ipponmatsu et al. is silent as to the distance from the inlet hole at the upstream side and the downstream side, it would appear this distance logically would be at least greater than 1 mm and therefore within the broadly claimed range (see Figure 1, inlet hole approximately at 14 and downstream at pipe end in 24).

With respect to claim 15, Ipponmatsu et al. teaches that the average particle size produced is 1.2 µm (Column 8, lines 12-13).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colleen P Cooke whose telephone number is 571-272-1170. She can normally be reached Mon.-Thurs. 8am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, her supervisor, Stan Silverman can be reached at 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

allen P. Cooke 7/19/05

Page 6

Colleen P Cooke Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754